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Abstract: The basic hypothesis of this article focuses on the study of machinability with regard to the elimination of occurrence of poor - quality holes when drilling into new austenitic ELC (Extra Low Carbon) stainless steels X2C20Ni10MoTi. The problem of drilling holes with diameter D=6 to 10 mm resides in the fact that 20 to 30% of these holes do not comply with prescribed required requirements. The cutting tools – screw drills as monoliths – get damaged and wear out. This article presents the results of experiments focusing on the study of the damage process in screw drills with diameter d=8 mm when drilling into new austenitic ELC stainless steels X2C20Ni10MoTi.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This article aims mainly to present the results of research within the framework of the defined hypothesis: a study of machinability of a new ELC stainless steels during drilling, with application of new structures on the cutting part of screw drills (in sintered carbide). Presented results also include an analysis of accompanying phenomena in the cutting zone and a discussion of the results of the measurement of some parameters during drilling.

2. THE CUTTING TOOL WEAR

Austenitic stainless steels are characterised by high strength, low heat conductivity, and a high degree of hardening of the machined surface after machining (Belluco & De Chiffre, 2004). When machining stainless steels, we often note the occurrence of built-up edge; this phenomenon results in a reduction of tool life (Ceretti et al., 2009), (Paro et al., 2001). Cutting tool wear is the result of the combination of various wear mechanisms: abrasive, adhesive, diffuse, and chemical - oxidation (Shaw, 2004).

Cutting zone is a summary term from the region during cutting. To properly describe the cutting zone it is necessary to describe the regions and test parameters (Clift et al., 1990): primary plastic deformation zone, secondary plastic deformation zone, tertiary plastic deformation zone, machined surface, its properties and integrity and the gradually-deformed region of the cut layer. The first zone between the chip and the workpiece, called the shear layer, divides the non-deformed region from the deformed chip under the angle of the shear layer, (indicated by $\phi_1$) which is defined as identical to the boundary angle of deformation.

Tool wear influences the quality of surface finish of the products produced and thus, if unnoticed, can cause high costs. Wear of cutting edge is assistance combination of loading factors, that affect of cutting edge (Astashkov, 1998).

3. EXPERIMENTAL PART

3.1 Technological system

The set-up used contained the following components: a VMF-100 CNC machine new design of screw drill from sintered carbide with hydraulic holder. Diameter of screw drill $d=8.0$ mm. The materials to be machined were type of austenitic stainless steels with chemical composition listed in Table 1. The dimension of each piece was 50x20x150 mm. The cutting process employed was drilling of holes with dry machining, and the cutting speed defined at intervals of 50 m per min to 100 m per min, the feed advanced from intervals of 0.01 mm per rev. to 0.1 mm per rev. With regard to cutting tool life, the following criterion was applied: $V_{Bk}=0.2$ mm.

Cutting tool wear is a parameter we can examine by means of an optical light microscope. The examination of the cutting zone (i.e. interaction between tool and workpiece) is analyzed using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).

![Tab. 1. Chemical composition of stainless steels](image)

**Tab. 1. Chemical composition of stainless steels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical element</th>
<th>Steel X2C20Ni10MoTi</th>
<th>Steel Cr18Ni8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>carbon</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromium</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nickel</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manganese</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>titanium</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molybdenum</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphorus</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Experimental results and discussion

The results of cutting zone evaluation under cutting conditions ($v_c=60$ m/min and feed $f=0.06$ mm per rev.) are a definition of shear level angle. For X2C20Ni10MoTi steel $\Phi_1$ is $34^\circ$, for Cr18Ni8 steel $\Phi_1$ is $36^\circ$. Also important are the values of the depth of the plastically-deformed material of the machined surface of steel X2C20Ni10MoTi, shown figure 1., for steel Cr18Ni8 shown figure 2.

![Fig. 1. Plastic deformation of X2C20Ni10MoTi under the machined surface, $v_c=60$ m/min, $f=0.06$ mm per rev. Etching: Villela](image)

a) lokal plastic deformation, $\sim 28$ μm
b) plastic deformation of austenite grain size, $\sim 140$ μm
Stainless steels are influenced by charging due to intensive mechanical reinforcement during machining. The examination of reinforced surfaces can be carried out by measuring the micro-hardness of the bottom part of the fragment; indeed, the bottom part of the fragment can be considered as the most deformed fragment zone. The results of micro-hardness examination are reported in Figure 3 and Figure 4, these results are as follows:

- Cr18Ni8 steel: fragments are strongly deformed compared to X2Cr20Ni10MoTi steel. Austenite fragment, bottom part, \( v_c = 60 \text{ m per min} \), 305 HV (20 g) – if the bottom part of the fragment is measured: austenite 242 HV (20 g)
- X2Cr20Ni10MoTi steel: \( v_c = 60 \text{ m per min} \), austenite micro-hardness 228 HV (20 g) – if the bottom part of the fragment is measured: austenite 228 HV (20 g)

4. CONCLUSION

It is important for both theory and practical applications that essential conclusions come from measurement and analysis. The conclusions are as follows:

1. Defined coefficients for kinetic machining of austenitic stainless steels, whereby X2Cr20Ni10MoTi steel \( K_{v} = 0.68-0.70 \), for Cr18Ni8 steel \( K_{v} = 0.62-0.65 \) and for C45 steel \( K_{v} = 1.0 \).

2. Machinability of Cr18Ni8 and X2Cr20Ni10MoTi steels is influenced by the formation of BUE. Cr18Ni8 steel tends to form more BUE than X2Cr20Ni10MoTi.

3. BUE formation is caused by adhesive wear; in terms of cohesion, this fact indicates that the above mentioned mechanism is likely to be the predominant mechanism in the damaging process of sintered carbide tools when drilling into X2Cr20Ni10MoTi steel.
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