

# MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF WELDED ORTHODONTIC METAL APPLIANCES

SZUHANEK, C.

**Abstract:** *The soldering of metal appliances is frequently required in order to create individual orthodontic appliances and to achieve efficient treatment procedures.*

**Aims:** *The purpose of our study was to evaluate the mechanical strength and the microhardness of joints welded with universal silver solder and with laser technique.*

**Materials and Methods:** *The joints were produced by various dental technicians using three different soldering techniques- flame, ultrasound and laser. The tensile strengths were measured with a universal testing machine, while smooth surface and characteristic nugget formation were microscopically evaluated. Results were statistically analysed.*

**Results:** *The laser welding technique provided superior strength results compared to the silver soldering and flame method.*

**Conclusions:** *Laser welding represents an expansive but efficient technique that will be more frequently used in the future.*

**Keywords:** *orthodontic materials, laser soldering*



**Authors' data:** dr. Szuhaneck, [Camelia], Department of Orthodontics, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Victor Babes" Timisoara, str. Brandusei nr.18 sc.B ap.3, camelia\_fleser@yahoo.com

**This Publication has to be referred as:** Szuhaneck, [Camelia] (2010). Mechanical Properties of Welded Orthodontic Metal Appliances, Chapter 24 in DAAAM International Scientific Book 2010, pp. 237-244, B. Katalinic (Ed.), Published by DAAAM International, ISBN 978-3-901509-74-2, ISSN 1726-9687, Vienna, Austria DOI: 10.2507/daaam.scibook.2010.24

## 1. Introduction

The soldering procedures occupy a well-defined place, as they are frequently required for orthodontic laboratory.

Joining a metal framework is frequently necessary to create individual orthodontic appliances and achieve efficient treatment procedures (figure 1) (Bertrand, 2001; Prasad, 2009; Bertrand, 2004).



Fig. 1. Welded orthodontic constructive solutions

The easiest usage came back for a long period of time with welding with flame and filler material, at melting degrees higher than  $450^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The heat input on a relatively large volume of material and the difficult controlling of the process induces structural transformations in the processed materials, with adverse effects upon the reliability and security of the joints. Usage is associated with biocompatibility and corrosion phenomena. The mechanical strength of the joint does not rise to the level of basic materials, due to the peculiarity of the filler materials that were used.

As an alternative to thermal conjoining procedures by melting, one might use the ultrasound procedure. The procedure carries out the conjoining in a solid form, without filler material, and the structural transformations due to thermal fields are practically absent. You can observe the possibility of joining materials that are metallurgically different. The lack of heat affected areas raises problems with regards to plastic deformation, or corrosion.

Remarkable progress in the laser technology brought the procedure in the field of making joints for orthodontic appliances. Interactions between lasers and materials are very complex phenomena. The success of laser welding procedures in dental metals depends on the operator's control of many parameters.

Laser welding technique was chosen for its versatility in Ni-Ti dental metal processes. With this research we are to assess the accuracy, quality and reproducibility of this technique as applied to conjoining wires. The advantages of laser welding systems can be summarized as follows: no solder and thus no galvanic corrosion at the joint. Some phenomena can be blurred by using protection inert gases in the area of the joint (Jens Johannes Bock, 2008; Szuhanek, 2006).

The application of laser welding technology allows titanium to be welded predictably and precisely in order to achieve an accurate fit of a milled framework. Laser energy results in localized heat production, thereby reducing thermal expansion. Unlike soldering, laser energy can be directed to a small area.

Laser welding yields strong, seamless connections. Research indicates that laser welds are stronger than traditional solder joints and close as strong as the original alloy. As a result, they provide greater patient comfort. Therefore it is fast becoming

an essential part of today's dental laboratory. The reasons are simple: it is quicker, easier and produces better results.

**The purpose of this experimental programme** included a comparative evaluation between welding with different techniques- flame, ultrasounds, laser. The determined features were specific to tensile tests, metallographic examination, hardness aimed to highlight performances, compared evaluation and to promote technically and technologically efficient solutions with applications in orthodontics.

## 2. Material and method

The experimental programme took part at the Politechnica University of Timisoara, in collaboration with Faculty of Dental Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy „Victor Babes” Timisoara. The materials were those currently used for elements of fixed orthodontic appliances, also for removable ones. Samples where welded joints between rings and arms holding tension screws, also between adjacent wires, are needed (figure 2). The vast majority require welding between a plane surface of rings and the screw holding wire with round or profiled section, which technologically can be easily done.



Fig. 2. Welded samples

We used for welding an orthodontic stainless steel wire which had a diameter between 0,96 – 0,99 mm, which represents a section of  $0,746 \text{ mm}^2$ . In the delivery set the tensile strength of 1800 - 2000 N/mm<sup>2</sup> is specified. The rings had the minimum section along the welded wire of 3,16 x 0,15 mm, which brings the section in the contact area for welding at  $0,474 \text{ mm}^2$ .

In light of the welding to be done, a metallic contact of at least 6mm along the wire generator and prefab rings was ensured. A similar contact length was also ensured between the generators of joined wires. Joints between wire and ring were used, also between two wires, welded with flame and filler material, ultrasounds and laser, prepared according to the previous image.

Flame welding used bottled butane gas. The filler material had the diameter of one millimeter. For ultrasound welding there was the bearing force of 100N, with the duration of 1s. Laser joints were made at the pulse power of  $P_p = 900 \text{ W}$ , duration  $D_p = 10 \text{ ms}$ , with the energy  $E_p = 8 \text{ J}$ .

The welded samples were tensile tested, submitted to metallographic examination, and the microhardness of HV 0.5 was established in the specific areas of joints.

With the tensile test the tensile strength was established and the location of the breakage was analyzed. The referential for the tensile test was the normative SR EN 10002-1/2002, with the tensile testing machine in Figure 3. Thus, one end of the wire

was immobilized in the jaw of the machine, and the cotter of the working device was introduced in the ring attached to the wire. The wires welded along the generator were tightened at the extremities between the adapted jaws of the machine that was used.



Fig. 3. Machine for tensile testing

The metallographic examination highlighted joining defects, the configuration of the joint, the nature of structural constituents, according to SR EN 4590-90.

Transversally over the joint areas there are hardness traces of HV 0.5. The referential for establishing hardness is SR EN ISO 14271-2002.

The number of samples allowed to evaluate the results by using the principles of mathematical statistics. For an accurate determination of the distribution of experimental results location indicators and statistical variability were calculated, for all analyzed variables, by applying specific relations, determining the arithmetic average, amplitude and medium square deviation [Szuhanek 2008, ADA specifications]. The facilities offered by calculation programs EXCEL 7.0 and MATHE-ASS, which run in Windows '97, were used.

### 3. Results and discussions

We have joints welded by flame with filler material, ultrasounds and laser for compared analysis of the results.

The results that were obtained on samples welded with ultrasounds were superior to the results obtained with welding with flame and filler material, but under than those obtained with laser welding.

The flame welding procedure allows the difficult control of thermal fields which can be seen in the determined results. The more favourable features of laser joints are ensured because of a more stern control of technological parametres, in comparison with the other procedures involved in the experiment. The values of tensile strengths are superior to the values needed in orthodontic treatments highlighted through mathematical modelling, namely by concepts of finite elements [6, 7]. Still, what differentiates the tested conjoining procedures are the important dispersions in flame welding compared to laser welding. The consequence is found in the behaviour during the usage of joints which has effects upon the patients' discomfort.

1. Tensile testing led to the results in Table 1. The graphic representation of tensile strengths is found in figure 4.

| Sample nr. | Welding procedure          | Wire-ring joint               |                              |     |            | Wire-wire joint               |                              |     |            |
|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|------------|
|            |                            | Rm<br>/N/mm <sup>2</sup><br>/ | R<br>/N/mm <sup>2</sup><br>/ | S   | Breakpoint | Rm<br>/N/mm <sup>2</sup><br>/ | R<br>/N/mm <sup>2</sup><br>/ | S   | Breakpoint |
| 1          | Flame with filler material | 782,5                         | 155,9                        | 5,1 | ring       | 1051,1                        | 25,18                        | 9,4 | weld       |
| 2          | Ultrasounds                | 801,2                         | 100,6                        | 3,9 | weld       | 1602,3                        | 120,9                        | 6,1 | wire       |
| 3          | Laser                      | 955,5                         | 85,4                         | 2,8 | ring       | 1751,1                        | 101,1                        | 3,9 | wire       |

\*Rm – Tensile strength, R - amplitude, S – medium square deviation.

Tab. 1. Results of tensile testing \*




Fig. 4. Tensile strength of welded joints

**a.** Results of the metallographic examinations are presented in figure 5 with images of joints made with flame welding, ultrasound welding and also laser welding.




Fig. 5. Metallographic images of joints made with flame welding (a), ultrasound welding (b, c), laser welding (d, e)

Metallographic examination of samples welded with flame and filler material highlights incomplete permeation to the joining between wire and ring, under the conditions of the negative angle created between curved surfaces (figure 5. a). The cause can be due to incomplete moistening and fluidity of the melted filler material. Partial adhesion of the components conjoined with filler material is observed. No microfissures are identified. The thermal influenced area is 1 mm wide, namely it is



superior to the thickness of the material of the conjoined ring, but comparable with the thickness of the used wires. One can notice the presence of precipitations of compounds specific to the main elements of alloying, with favourable effects upon the fragilization of the joint, but unfavourable with regards to its elasticity.

With joints done with ultrasound welding one can observe interference by diffusion of the materials of components. According to the macroscopic image it appears that no joining took place, and this is contradicted by the microscopic image (figure 5. b,c). The non-linearity of the interface confirms the coalescence of the materials of components. It is crucial to prepare the surfaces: smoothness, roughness, cleaning, in order to ensure metallic contact.

With laser welding there is the liquid state of materials in the joining area, metallurgical phenomena of structural transformation and solidification carried out at high speed are induced (figure 5. d, e). The thermal influenced area is reduced. No microfissures are identified. High temperature favours the occurrence of chemical and intermetallic compounds. Their presence is materialized by high hardness of the area submitted to thermal cycles, but also with tendencies towards fragilization.

**b.** Results of HV 0,5 hardness determinations are presented in tables 2 and 3.

In figures 6 and 7 there are evolutions of HV 0.5 hardness values, in transversal direction over joint areas.

| Sample | Welding procedure          | Basic material wire |      |     | Thermal influenced area 1 |      |     | Deposited material |      |     | Thermal influenced area 2 |      |     | Basic material ring |      |     |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|-----|---------------------------|------|-----|--------------------|------|-----|---------------------------|------|-----|---------------------|------|-----|
|        |                            | HV0,5               | R    | S   | HV0,5                     | R    | S   | HV0,5              | R    | S   | HV0,5                     | R    | S   | HV0,5               | R    | S   |
| 1      | Flame with filler material | 390                 | 11,9 | 3,9 | 379                       | 15,2 | 4,1 | 330                | 17,2 | 6,2 | 372                       | 16,3 | 4,9 | 361                 | 10,3 | 3,6 |
| 2      | Ultrasounds                | 401                 | 10,9 | 3,5 | 398                       | 14,1 | 2,7 | -                  | -    | -   | 362                       | 14,7 | 3,0 | 364                 | 11,9 | 3,5 |
| 3      | Laser                      | 396                 | 10,4 | 3,6 | 419                       | 12,0 | 3,5 | 414                | 14,1 | 4,2 | 405                       | 14,0 | 3,7 | 362                 | 9,9  | 3,5 |

Tab. 2. Results of investigations on wire-ring joints




Fig. 6. Hardness evolution in wire-ring joint areas

| Crt. no. | Welding procedure          | Basic material |      |     | Thermal influenced area 1 |      |     | Deposited material |      |     | Thermal influenced area 2 |      |     |
|----------|----------------------------|----------------|------|-----|---------------------------|------|-----|--------------------|------|-----|---------------------------|------|-----|
|          |                            | HV0,5          | R    | S   | HV0,5                     | R    | S   | HV0,5              | R    | S   | HV0,5                     | R    | S   |
| 1        | Flame with filler material | 390            | 11,9 | 3,9 | 381                       | 14,9 | 4,6 | 335                | 15,9 | 6,8 | 379                       | 13,9 | 5,3 |
| 2        | Ultrasounds                | 401            | 10,9 | 3,5 | 389                       | 14,0 | 3,5 | -                  | -    | -   | 391                       | 14,0 | 3,1 |
| 3        | Laser                      | 396            | 10,4 | 3,6 | 420                       | 12,1 | 3,9 | 421                | 13,6 | 4,8 | 425                       | 12,5 | 3,7 |

Tab. 3. Results of investigations on wire-wire joints




Fig. 7. Evolution of hardness in wire – wire joint areas

The previous representation underlines the effect of concentrated thermal fields associated with the laser procedure, in comparison with other used procedures. With flame welding a filler material was used whose melting did not reclaim thermal effects and structural changes with pronounced hardening. In the thermal influenced areas of the joint one finds the effect of melted mixture of basic materials with the filler material.

Note that ultrasound welding is done in a solid state, without filler material, and we do not have the problem of melted material. Structural transformations in the area of welded components were not significantly affected by heat. Consequently, hardness registers comparable values, and sometimes even lower than in basic materials that were used.

Laser welding procedure, with high concentrated heat input, induces structural changes with rapid heating and cooling, favourable to the appearance of hard structural constituents, with the tendency of fragilization of the joint.

Among the used procedures notice the lower values of the amplitude of hardness, namely medium square deviations in laser joints, compared with flame joints, and also ultrasound joints. The explanation derives from the control and a more rigorous monitoring of technological parameters when using the laser procedure, related especially to flame usage for conjoining experimented materials.

After analyzing the results that were obtained after attempts and analysis of three conjoining procedures obvious differences were noticed. In tensile testing, using a filler material with medium fusion temperature implicitly led to lower values of tensile strength than in the case of samples welded with laser in which the melted material came from joint components. The situation is correlated with the results of the metallographic analysis, where the working temperature and fluidity of the melted

material favoured adherence of joints that were done by using the laser procedure. Concentrated energy specific to laser joints induced thermal cycles with high temperature gradients, with fast thermic effects.

#### 4. Conclusions

1. The welding procedures bring a thermal input that is different in the joining areas. This was highlighted by lower values in tensile strength of joints made with flame welding and ultrasound welding compared to joints made with laser welding.
2. Structural changes associated with the laser technique are correlated with higher hardness values in areas affected by welding, related to the effects generated by flame welding and ultrasound welding.
3. There is a lack of thermal transformations on the surface of an ultrasound joint, which leads to hardness values that are comparable to those of basic materials.
4. The parameters of laser welding were superior to those from other techniques, but optimizations of technological parameters are necessary in order to minimize thermal effects that generate areas of hardness and high fragility.

#### 5. Acknowledgments

This work was supported by CNCSIS –UEFISCSU, project number PNII – IDEI code 1738/2009.

#### 6. References

- Bertrand C., Le Petitcorps Y., Albingre L., Dupuis, V. (2001). The laser welding technique applied to the non precious dental alloys procedure and results. *Br Dent J.* 2001 Mar 10;190(5), p.255-257
- Bertrand C., Le Petitcorps Y., Albingre L., Dupuis, V. (2004). Optimization of operator and physical parameters for laser welding of dental materials. *Br Dent J.* 2004 Apr 10;196(7), p.413-418
- Jens Johannes Bock, et.al. (2008). Fracture strength of different soldered and welded orthodontic joining configurations with and without filling material. *Journal of Applied Oral Science*, vol.16 no.5 Bauru Sept./Oct. 2008, ISSN1678-7757
- Prasad S., Monaco E., A. Jr.: Repairing an implant titanium milled framework using laser welding technology: a clinical report. *J. Prosthet Dent.* 2009 Apr; 101(4), p.221-225
- C. Szuhanek, Faur N., Cernescu A.: Biomechanical 3D analysis of stress induced by orthodontic implants. *Key Engineering Materials*, vol.399(2009), pp 194-204.
- Szuhanek Camelia (2007). Finite element analysis of lingual forces effect in alveolar bone loss cases. *Journal of Lingual News*, 2007, may, Volume 5, Number 1, p. 35-39
- Szuhanek Camelia (2008). Biomechanical comparison between tipping forces effect in labial lingual orthodontics. *Proceedings Congress European Society of Lingual Orthodontics, Cannes, France, 2008, 4-8 iulie*, p.245-250.
- \*\*\*ANSI/ADA Specification No. 32—*Orthodontic Wires*
- \*\*\*ANSI/ADA Specification No. 88—*Dental Brazing Alloys*